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Regenerative medicine involves the use of stem cell and gene-replacement therapy—either as single modalities or in combination—to manipulate the body’s capacity for repair. Stem cells are undifferentiated, self-renewing cells that possess a multi-lineage differentiation potential with the capacity to regenerate, repair, or substitute damaged tissue, allowing the re-establishment of its function.

The mechanism of action of stem cells involves several pathways and is largely dependent on the type of cell (eg, skeletal myoblasts, bone-marrow–derived cells, embryonic stem cells, endogenous cardiac stem cells, and adipose-derived cells) (Figure 1).

For example, pluripotent stem cells are capable of differentiating into all cell types, including cardiomyocytes, but multipotent and unipotent stem cells can only differentiate into a limited number of cell types. Cardiac stem cells are capable of differentiating into myocytes, endothelial cells, and vascular smooth muscle cells.

Gene-replacement therapy has the potential to become an ideal treatment for inherited diseases for which the mutant gene has been identified. It has traditionally been used to transfer a gene that encodes a functional protein into a diseased patient to produce long-term expression of the deficient protein using a viral vector (eg, adeno-associated virus [AAV]); this can regenerate lost tissue (Figure 2, next page).

Indications and Advantages

In cardiovascular medicine, most cardiac stem cell therapies have been directed toward myocardial repair following acute and chronic myocardial infarction in humans. Studies in cardiac stem cell therapy have shown that transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells improves cardiac function in rats, rabbits, and humans with dilated cardiomyopathy.

Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) is the most common adult-onset acquired myocardial disease that affects large- and giant-breed dogs. Doberman pinschers are affected by the most common and severe form of DCM in veterinary medicine. The disease can progress to cause refractory congestive heart failure or sudden death.

Gene-replacement therapy has the potential to become an ideal treatment for inherited diseases for which the mutant gene has been identified.

AAV = adeno-associated virus, DCM = dilated cardiomyopathy
A specific genetic mutation (pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase gene \(PDK4\)) has been identified in Doberman pinschers with DCM.\(^{11}\) This offers a potential new avenue for research aimed at identifying better treatment options for this disease.

Regenerative therapy with gene-replacement therapy alone or in combination with stem cells has been used with various levels of success to treat diseases where a specific genetic mutation has been identified (eg, canine hemophilia, lysosomal storage diseases, inherited retinal diseases\(^{12-18}\)). This may also be a viable treatment option for Doberman pinschers with DCM and the recently identified \(PDK4\) mutation as well as other cardiac diseases in veterinary medicine for which a genetic mutation has been identified.

### Challenges and Disadvantages

Challenges of global cardiac regeneration using stem cells and gene therapy involve 4 areas:

- Identification of ideal cell types and vectors
- Identification of the dose required for global cardiac regeneration
- Identification of the best route of cell and/or vector delivery
- Development of a safe and effective product.

A rational approach to the study of cardiac disease is needed to understand the mechanisms that may improve myocardial performance. A wide variety of cell types (eg, skeletal myoblasts, bone-marrow–derived cells, embryonic stem cells, endogenous cardiac stem cells) and vectors (eg, AAV2, AAV6, AAV8, AAV9) have been considered as candidates for therapeutic delivery. It is
Retention of cells immediately after delivery is highly dependent on the delivery strategy. Cells can be injected through several methods. Intravenously (A) is simplest and least invasive, but dilution of cells and/or vector by systemic blood circulation and cells and/or vectors uptake by other organs can pose potential challenges. Coronary artery infusion (B), in which the cells and/or vector are injected through the lumen of an inflated angioplasty catheter into the coronary artery and reside in the coronary circulation until balloon is deflated. Retrograde coronary venous sinus infusion (C), in which the cells and/or vector are injected into the coronary sinus through the lumen of an inflated angioplasty catheter under pressure to disrupt endothelial borders and allow cells and/or vector to traverse into the myocardium. Direct intramyocardial involves injection of the cells and/or vector either endocardially (D) or epicardially (E). The primary advantage of this method is that cells and/or vectors delivery bypasses the endothelial barrier, which results in high local concentrations at the injection site.

Finding the appropriate dose is not the only determinant of good outcome. Another challenge for cardiac regeneration is determining the optimal route of delivery. Retention of cells immediately after delivery is highly dependent on the delivery strategy. Cells can be injected intravenously, into coronary arteries, or directly into the myocardium (Figure 3).

Still unknown which vector, type of stem cell or progenitor cell, or some combination of the 2 is the best option for achieving cardiac regeneration.

Cell numbers required to regenerate the entire myocardium and how many cells can be safely used is still unclear with regard to cell therapy in the treatment of global cardiac dysfunction.

Cardiomyocyte transduction (ie, the introduction of DNA into the cell via a viral vector) has proven more difficult in global cardiac dysfunction because myocardial volume is a determinant of the proportion of the myocardial mass that is transduced by the administration of a cell and/or vector. The percentage of the myocardium required to be successfully transduced for effective therapy is still unclear, and the required number of transduced cells may vary depending on the underlying cause of cardiomyopathy.19

The IV route is safest and easiest, but studies have shown minimal dwell time, non-specific cardiac muscle targeting, and poor results. A large number of cells and/or vectors is required to achieve cardiac transduction to counter first-pass effect; this exponentially increases the cost of the treatment. There is also a concern regarding the intracoronary delivery route; administration in this manner may result in blockage of the coronary arteries and cause further damage to the myocardium.20,21

The development of an effective and safe product (a combination of a vector and stem cell or gene) is of utmost importance.
The increased popularity of AAV vectors has prompted the FDA to regulate procedures, practices, and facilities for the implementation of methods that avoid genetic exchange between animals and humans.

**Clinical Impact**

Regenerative therapy for cardiac disease is a growing area of research that has recently led to several clinical trials in humans. Strategies such as cell transplantation and reprogramming have demonstrated intriguing and exciting results.

When a specific genetic mutation is discovered, the use of a combined approach of stem cell and gene-replacement therapy to achieve cardiac regeneration is a real possibility that could change the progression of the disease.

**Future Directions**

Not long ago, the heart was still considered a static and postmitotic organ that lacked regenerative capacity; it was thought that the number of cardiomyocytes in an individual was established at birth, and cardiomyocyte hypertrophy was considered the only cellular adaptive response of the heart.22

After more than a decade of research, views have changed comprehensively regarding cardiac remodeling and cardiac regenerative therapy as an important area of research. Several experimental studies were initiated with different types of stem cells. Because of the encouraging results, a rapid transition from preclinical experiments to clinical trials occurred.23 Although clinical trials demonstrated that cardiac regenerative therapy is safe, it remains unclear why preclinical expectations were not met in the majority of the studies.23

The results of clinical trials and experiments demonstrate that much remains to be investigated before clinical applicability can become a reality. ■ cb
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